

# **Activity Evaluation Form for California MCLE**

Please Print Your Answers and Return Form to Provider			
Provider: UC Berkeley School of	Law		Provider Number: <b>02442</b>
Title of Activity: 6th Berkeley-Tsin Date of Activity: October 3, 2024 Time of Activity: 8:30 AM - 6:00 P Location of Activity: [HYBRID] In-person @ Virtual (Zoon Total Eligible California MCLE Cre	M Faculty า)	/ Club,	UC Berkeley (Heyns Room)
Please Evaluate this Course by Con	npleting	g the Ta	able Below
Question	Yes	No	Comments
Did this program meet your educational objectives?			
Were you provided with substantive written materials?	٥		
Did the course update or keep you informed of your legal responsibilities?			
Did the activity contain significant professional content?			
Was the environment suitable for learning (e.g., temperature, noise, lighting, etc.)?			
Other criteria:	٥		
Other criteria:			

TURN PAGE OVER



#### Please Rate the Instructors or Panels of the Event by Completing the Table Below

#### Panel 1: Statistics, Cases and Trends in Cross-Border Litigation (1.25 General)

(Speaker)	On a scale of 1 to 5 (with 1 being Poor and 5 being	Rate
	Excellent) rate the items below	1-5
Thomas Lunde,	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
Clarivate/Darts-ip	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Steve Akerley, InterDigital, Inc.	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Chris de Mauny, Bird & Bird	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Dr. Yuan Hao, Berkeley Law	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	

## Panel 2: Standard Essential Patent Litigation and Licensing

		1
(Speaker)	On a scale of 1 to 5 (with 1 being Poor and 5 being	Rate
	Excellent) rate the items below	1-5
Dr. Fei Deng, Charles River Associates	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
LUO Rui, Han Kun Law Firm	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Dr. Mang Zhu, InterDigital, Inc.	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
ZHANG Hongbin, LexField Law Firm	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Dr. Thomas Chia	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	



## Panel 3: Patent Litigation and the Patent Linkage System in China

(Speaker)	On a scale of 1 to 5 (with 1 being Poor and 5 being Excellent) rate the items below	Rate 1 - 5
LI Binxin, LeanWill Law Firm	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	1-3
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
WU Li, Li Fang Law Firm	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
LIANG Fan, AnJie Broad Law Firm	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Charlie LOU, Takeda	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Dr. Kit Chan, Kinect Law	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	

## Panel 4: A Comparative View on IP Infringement Remedies: Injunction and Damages

(Speaker)	On a scale of 1 to 5 (with 1 being Poor and 5 being	Rate
Tony (Chengyan) Du, King & Wood Mallesons	Excellent) rate the items below  Overall Teaching Effectiveness	1-5
WOOD Wallesons	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Kurtis MacFerrin, Google	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Derek Neilson, Ericsson	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Dr. HONG Yan, Tsinghua Law	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Prof. Colleen Chien, Berkeley Law	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	



## Panel 5: Transnational IP Transactions and Alternate Dispute Resolution

(Speaker)	On a scale of 1 to 5 (with 1 being Poor and 5 being Excellent) rate the items below	Rate 1 - 5
Hon. Andrew J. Guilford, Ret., United States District Court for the Central District of California/Judicate West	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Jane Bu, Via Licensing	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
WANG Zhengzhi, Globe-Law Law Firm	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
WANG Xuanzhong, Singapore International Arbitration	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
Centre	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Marcus Quintanilla, Global Dispute Resolution	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	

#### Panel 6: Legal Challenges Faced by Chinese Enterprises in the US

(Speaker)	On a scale of 1 to 5 (with 1 being Poor and 5 being Excellent) rate the items below	Rate 1 - 5
Yar Chaikovsky, White & Case	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Prof. Carrie Shang, California	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
State Polytechnic University, Pomona	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
William "Skip" Fisher, Potomac Law Group	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
Law Group	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Amy Zhang, LungTin Law Firm	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Prof. Robert Merges, Berkeley Law	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
Law	Knowledge of Subject Matter	



## Panel 7: A Comparative Law Discussion on AI and IP

(Speaker)	On a scale of 1 to 5 (with 1 being Poor and 5 being	Rate
	Excellent) rate the items below	1-5
Prof. JIANG Ge, Tsinghua Law	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Dr. Yuan Hao, Berkeley Law	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Prof. Robert Merges, Berkeley Law	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Vivian Ding, Microsoft China	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	
Prof. CUI Guobin, Tsinghua Law	Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
	Knowledge of Subject Matter	